## Vibrational Distribution of $N_2(B^3\Pi_g)$ Produced from Dissociative Recombination of $N_2O^+$ in a Helium Flowing Afterglow

Masaharu Tsuji,\* Takeshi Tanoue,<sup>†</sup> Yuki Tanaka,<sup>†</sup> and Yukio Nishimura Institute of Advanced Material Study, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816-8580 <sup>†</sup>Department of Applied Science for Electronics and Materials, Graduate School of Engineering Sciences, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816-8580

(Received February 14, 2000; CL-000148)

 $N_2(B^3\Pi_g - A^3\Sigma_u^+)$  emission resulting from a dissociative recombination (DR) process of  $N_2O^+$  has been observed in a He afterglow reaction of  $N_2O$ . The vibrational population of  $N_2(B:v'=0-10)$  exhibited a bimodal distribution with peaks at v'=1 and 4, probably associated with the  $N_2(B) + O(^1D)$  and  $N_2(B) + O(^3P)$  processes, respectively.

Electron-ion recombination is an important loss process of charged species in natural plasma including interstellar gas clouds and planetary ionospheres and in man-made plasmas such as laser plasmas and combustion flames.<sup>1</sup> Although a DR process of N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> is important for understanding loss processes of  $N_2O^+$  in natural and man-made plasmas, there has been only one preliminary spectroscopic study by Taieb and Broida.<sup>2</sup> They observed  $N_2(B^3\Pi_g\text{-}A^3\Sigma_u^{\phantom{a}+})$  neutral emission from the v'=2-5 levels in a He afterglow reaction of  $N_2O$ . The quenching effects of SF<sub>6</sub> and of microwave heating which increases the electron temperature and reduces the electron-ion recombination rate led them to the conclusion that  $N_2(B)$  is produced by electron-ion recombination. Although N2(B) can be formed by electron recombination with  $N_2O^+$  and  $N_2^+$ , the ratio of the intensity of the N<sub>2</sub>(B-A) emission was much greater than that of the  $N_2^+$ (B-X) one. Therefore, they predicted that the  $e^-/N_2O^+$ DR is a major source of N<sub>2</sub>(B-A) emission. However, the contribution from the  $e^{-}/N_{2}^{+}$  recombination cannot be excluded from possible formation mechanisms of N<sub>2</sub>(B), because the precursor  $N_2^+(X)$  ions are produced through not only  $N_2^+(B-X)$ radiative cascade but also through a direct mechanism.

In the present study, DR of  $N_2O^+$  is studied in a He flowing afterglow by observing  $N_2(B-A)$  emission in a wider spectral range than that in the previous study of Taieb and Broida.<sup>2</sup> The contribution of the  $e^-/N_2^+$  recombination is examined by using  $N_2$ gas. The vibrational distribution of  $N_2(B:v'=0-10)$  is determined.

The flowing-afterglow apparatus used in this study was the same as that used for the study of DR of  $CO_2^{+,3}$  In brief, the positive  $N_2O^+$  ions were produced by the  $He(2^{3}S)/N_2O$  Penning ionization, and  $He^+/N_2O$  and  $He_2^+/N_2O$  charge-transfer reactions by the addition of  $N_2O$  into the He afterglow 10 cm downstream from a center of the microwave discharge. On the other hand, electrons were formed via a direct microwave discharge of He and Penning ionization of  $N_2O$ . They were completely thermalized by collisions with buffer He gas before arriving at the reaction zone. The partial pressure in the reaction zone was 1.0 Torr (=133.3 Pa) for He and 5-50 mTorr for  $N_2O$ . Under these operating conditions, the electron density was measured to be ~ $10^{10}/\text{cm}^{3}$  by using a single Langmuir probe. The emission spectra were dispersed in the 400-1100 nm region with a Spex 1250 M monochromator. A Hamamatsu Photonics (HP)

R376 photolumiplier was used in the measurement of 400-800 nm region, while a red sensitive HP R316-02 one was employed in the measurement of 800-1100 nm region. Emission spectrum presented here was corrected for the wavelength response of the detection system.



**Figure 1.**  $N_2(B^3\Pi_g - A^3\Sigma_u^+)$  emission obtained from the He afterglow reaction of  $N_2O$  at a total pressure of 1 Torr.

A typical emission spectrum observed 10 cm downstream from an inlet of N<sub>2</sub>O is shown in Figure 1, where the  $\Delta v=2$  and 3 sequences of the N<sub>2</sub>(B<sup>3</sup>Π<sub>g</sub>-A<sup>3</sup>Σ<sub>u</sub><sup>+</sup>) transition from v'=2-10 is identified. Although Taieb and Broida<sup>2</sup> observed N<sub>2</sub>(B-A) emission from the v'=2-5 levels, the v'=0-10 levels are identified in the 570-1080 nm region in this study. When a small amount of an electron scavenger, SF<sub>6</sub>, was added into the observation region, the N<sub>2</sub>(B-A) emission reduced its intensity by factors of 5-8. This implies that almost all N<sub>2</sub>(B) is formed though some electron-ion recombination processes. In addition to DR of N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>(1), the following radiative recombination (2a), collisional radiative recombination (2b), and three-body recombination (2c) of N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> are possible as the formation processes of N<sub>2</sub>(B:v'=0-10):

$$e^{-} + N_2 O^{+} \rightarrow N_2 (B:v'=0.10) + O,$$
 (1)

$$e^{-} + N_2^{+} \rightarrow N_2(B:v=0-10) + hv,$$
 (2a)  
 $e^{-} + N_2^{+} + e^{-} \rightarrow N_2(B:v=0-10) + e^{-}$  (2b)

$$e^{-} + N_2^{+} + M \rightarrow N_2(B:v=0-10) + M$$
 (M=He or N<sub>2</sub>O). (2c)

Taieb and Broida<sup>2</sup> concluded that processes (2a)-(2c) were unimportant because the ratio of the intensity of the N<sub>2</sub>(B-A) emission was much greater than that of the N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>(B-X) one. In order to examine the contribution of processes (2a)-(2c), sample N<sub>2</sub>O gas was replaced by N<sub>2</sub> gas. No evidence of processes (2a)-(2c) was found at low N<sub>2</sub> flow rates, where the e<sup>-</sup>/N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>·N<sub>2</sub> DR process leading to N<sub>2</sub>(B)<sup>4</sup> was insignificant. It was therefore concluded that the responsible recombination process for the formation of N<sub>2</sub>(B:v'=0-10) is DR of N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> (1).

## Chemistry Letters 2000

In order to examine the vibrational distribution of the precursor N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>(X<sup>2</sup>Π<sub>g</sub>:v<sub>1</sub>",v<sub>2</sub>",v<sub>3</sub>") ion, laser induced fluorescence (LIF) of N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>(A<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>-X<sup>2</sup>Π<sub>i</sub>) was observed in a He afterglow using a similar LIF apparatus reported previously.<sup>5</sup> Only the LIF bands from the ground N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>(X<sup>2</sup>Π<sub>g</sub>:0,0,0) level were observed. This finding led us to conclude that N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>(X<sup>2</sup>Π<sub>g</sub>:v<sub>1</sub>",v<sub>2</sub>",v<sub>3</sub>") ions were completely relaxed to the ground vibrational level by collisions with He and N<sub>2</sub>O before arriving at the recombination reaction zone.

The energetics for the formation of  $N_2(B^3\Pi_g)$  via DR of  $N_2O^+(X^2\Pi_o:0,0,0)$  is as follows:

 $\begin{array}{ll} e^{-} + N_2 O^{+}(X^2 \Pi_g : 0, 0, 0) \\ \rightarrow & N_2 (B: v' = 0 \text{--} 10) + O(^3 \text{P}), \quad \Delta \text{H}^\circ = \text{--} 3.80 \sim \text{--} 1.85 \text{ eV}, \ (3a) \\ \rightarrow & N_2 (B: v' = 0 \text{--} 10) + O(^1 \text{D}), \quad \Delta \text{H}^\circ = \text{--} 1.84 \sim 0.11 \text{ eV}. \ (3b) \end{array}$ 

The total available energies for processes (3a) and (3b) were estimated to be  $1.92 \sim 3.87$  eV and  $-0.05 \sim 1.91$  eV, respectively, by taking account of the relative kinetic energy and the rotational energy of N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>(X) at 300 K (5/2RT).

The vibrational distribution of N<sub>2</sub>(B:v'=0-10) in the DR process of N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>(X<sup>2</sup>Π<sub>g</sub>:0,0,0), N<sub>v'</sub>, was determined from the emission intensity of a (v',v") transition of N<sub>2</sub>(B-A), I<sub>v'v"</sub>, using the following relation:

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{v}'\mathbf{v}''} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{v}'}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}'},\tag{4}$$

where  $A_{v}$  is the Einstein coefficient of the N<sub>2</sub>(B-A) transition distribution, which has been reported by Piper *et al.*<sup>6</sup> The emission intensity was evaluated by measuring the total area of each vibronic band. The vibrational distribution obtained is shown in Figure 2. The uncertainties of the N<sub>v</sub> values were estimated



**Figure 2.** Vibrational distribution of  $N_2(B^3\Pi_g)$  produced from DR of  $N_2O^+(X^2\Pi_g:0,0,0)$  at thermal energy.

to be within ±8%. The vibrational distribution of N<sub>2</sub>(B) was independent of buffer He gas pressure in the 0.5-1.5 Torr range and N<sub>2</sub>O pressure in the 5-50 mTorr range. It was thus concluded that the vibrational relaxation by collisions with He and N<sub>2</sub>O was insignificant and the observed vibrational distribution reflects the nascent population. On the other hand, the rotational distribution of N<sub>2</sub>(B) was expected to be relaxed nearly completely by collisions with He and N<sub>2</sub>O due to long radiative lifetimes of N<sub>2</sub>(B: $\tau$ =4.3-12.1 µs for v'=0-10).<sup>6</sup> It should be noted that the observed vibrational population exhibits a bimodal distribution with peaks at v'=1 and 4. These low and high v' components are probably associated with two exit channels (3b) and (3a), respectively. The average vibrational energy deposited into N<sub>2</sub>(B) in the DR of N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>(X<sup>2</sup>Π<sub>g</sub>:0,0,0), <E<sub>v</sub>>, was evaluat-

ed to be 0.74  $\pm$  0.06 eV from the observed vibrational distribution.

Two mechanisms have been proposed for DR processes of polyatomic ions.<sup>7</sup> One is a direct process, which proceeds through the following two-stage mechanism:

$$e^{-} + N_2 O^{+} (X^2 \Pi_g : 0, 0, 0) \rightleftharpoons N_2 O^{**} \rightarrow N_2 (B) + 0,$$
 (5)

where the free electron of energy  $\varepsilon = V^{**}(N_2O^{**}) - V^+(N_2O^+)$ excites an electron of  $N_2O^+$  and it is then resonantly captured by the ion to form a repulsive state of the doubly excited  $N_2O^{**}$ molecule, which in turn can either autoionize or predissociate into  $N_2(B) + O$ . The other is indirect mechanism which proceeds through the following three-stage mechanism,

$$e^{-} + N_2 O^{+}(v_i^{+}) \rightarrow [N_2 O^{+}(v_f) - e^{-}]_n \rightarrow N_2 O^{**} \rightarrow N_2 (B) + O, \quad (6)$$

where the accelerating electron loses energy by vibrationally exciting  $(v_i^+ \rightarrow v_f)$  ion and is then captured into a Rydberg orbital of the bound  $N_2O^*$  molecule which then interacts with the doubly excited repulsive  $N_2O^{**}$  molecule via configuration mixing. According to theoretical prediction of Bate,<sup>8</sup> the former mechanism is significant for DR of polyatomic ions. It is therefore reasonable to assume that  $N_2(B)$  is produced via a direct mechanism. The fact that favorable vibrational levels are v'=1 and 4 indicates that vibrational wavefunction of the precursor  $N_2O^+(X^2\Pi_g:0,0,0)$  level is favorably overlapped with the  $N_2(B:v'=1) + O(^1D)$  and  $N_2(B:v'=4) + O(^3P)$  repulsive curves. Therefore, an efficient double electron capture transition into two antibonding molecular orbitals will be induced.

When  $C_6F_6$  was added as an electron scavenger, a weak  $N_2(B-A)$  emission resulting from the following  $N_2O^+/C_6F_6^-$  ionion recombination reaction was observed:

$$N_2O^+(X^2\Pi_g:0,0,0) + C_6F_6^- \rightarrow N_2(B) + O + C_6F_6.$$
 (7)

We are planing to compare the vibrational distributions of  $N_2(B)$  between the  $N_2O^+/C_6F_6^-$  reaction and the  $e^-/N_2O^+$  one reported here in order to obtain more information on the dynamical features of the DR process of  $N_2O^+$ .

This work was partially supported by the Mitsubishi foundation and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 09440201 from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture, Japan.

## **References and Notes**

- "Dissociative Recombination, Theory, Experiment, and Applications," NATO ASI Series B; Physics, ed by B. R. Rowe, J. Brian, A. Mitchell, and A. Canosa, Plenum Press, New York (1993) Vol. 313.
- 2 G. Taieb and H. P. Broida, Chem. Phys., 21, 313 (1977).
- 3 M. Tsuji, M. Nakamura, Y. Nishimura, and H. Obase, J. Chem. *Phys.*, **108**, 8031 (1998).
- 4 Y. S. Cho and R. Johnsen J. Chem. Phys., 95, 7356 (1991).
- 5 M. Ochsner, M. Tsuji, and J. P. Maier, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **115**, 373 (1985).
- 6 L. G. Piper, K. W. Holtzclaw, B. D. Green, and W. A. M. Blumberg, J. Chem. Phys., 90, 5337 (1989).
- 7 M. R. Flannery, Adv. Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys., 32, 1 (1993).
- 8 D. R. Bate, J. Phys., **B24**, 695 (1991).